

November 14, 2005

Dear Mr. Chairman and Members:

I wish to first applaud you for your outstanding efforts in drafting and deliberating on the 2006 Waukesha County Budget.

I am pleased to have the opportunity as your newly elected County Executive to utilize the veto authority of the office to impose tax levy and expenditure reductions in the 2006 Waukesha County Budget. The four vetoes that I have proposed take into consideration the insightful feedback that so many citizens and staff have provided me with respect to what is in the best of interest of Waukesha County taxpayers.

Attached you will find the 2006 Waukesha County Executive Vetoes (formatted in a table) with their expenditure and tax levy amounts that I am proposing for your consideration on Tuesday, November 15, 2005. The total decrease in the amount of expenditures is \$610,000 and total tax levy reduction is \$360,000. There are two County Executive Requests (a Veto Addendum) for your consideration. In addition, I will be forwarding a memo with five Executive Orders to various departments that focus on increasing government efficiencies and cost saving measures.

I look forward to working with you on the exciting challenges facing Waukesha County.

Sincerely,

Daniel P. Vrakas County Executive

DPV:sh

Enclosures

# 2006 Waukesha County Executive Vetoes

Non-Departmental - End User Operations   Increase vacancy and turnover target by   Based on experience through Cot. 2005 per   -\$30,000	Department-Program	Description	Rationale	Expenditure Reduction	Tax Levy Reduction
Increase vacancy and turnover target of Division staff  Administration – Information Technology and turnover, savings are estimated to be higher than budgeted, which allows for an increased savings and a decreased tax levy and turnover, savings and a decreased tax levy increased savings and a decreased tax levy and funding tor the Security Controlled Access  Program (signage, parking designation and date of implementation)  **Consistent with the Phase II Courts timeline.**  **Consistent with the Phase II Courts timeline.**  **Consistent with the Phase II Courts timeline.**  **Consistent work would not occur until 2007.**    Based on experience through Oct. 2005 per high variation is and turnover, savings are estimated to begin until 2007.**    Based on experience through Oct. 2005 per high variation of the plan is necessary and decreased tax levy and plan increased avings and a decreased tax levy and the new courts timeline.**  **Consistent with the Phase II Courts timeline.**  **Consistent work would not occur until 2007.**    Based on experience through Oct. 2005 per higher than budgeted, which allows and find turnover, savings are estimated to begin and turnover, savings are estimated to begin to the new courts timeline.**    Court capital project funding will plan refinements need to occur before construction of the new courts to the ne	Non-Departmental – End User Operations & Technology Fund		Based on experience through Oct. 2005 per Information Systems Division staff vacancy and turnover, savings are estimated to be higher than budgeted, which allows for an increased savings and a decreased tax levy need for personnel costs.	-\$30,000	-\$30,000
Lower funding for  Lower funding for  Lower funding for  Courthouse/Administration is necessary for the Security Controlled Access  Program (signage, parking designation and date of implementation)  and date of implementation)  #Intake Court capital project funding will  #Consistent with the Phase II Courts timeline  #Consistent work would not occur turil 2007.  #Expenditure  #Reduction  #660000  #6000000  #60000000  #600000000	Administration – General Fund Programs	Increase vacancy and turnover target of Administration – Information Technology Division staff	Based on experience through Oct. 2005 per Information Systems Division staff vacancy and turnover, savings are estimated to be higher than budgeted, which allows for an increased savings and a decreased tax levy need for personnel costs.	-\$30,000	-\$30,000
Lower funding for  Courthouse/Administration is necessary Courthouse/Administration is necessary for the Security Controlled Access Program (signage, parking designation and date of implementation)  ### Discretify Court capital project funding will adjusted accordingly in the 2006 budget.  #### Discretify Court capital project funding will plan refinements need to occur before adjusted accordingly in the 2006 budget.  #### Discretify Court capital project funding will plan refinements need to occur before construction of general fund construction of the new courts building is not expected to begin until 2007.  ##################################					
*Intake Court capital project funding will be modified /reduction of general fund construction of the Intake Court. An adjusted balance and tax levy timeline will reflect lower expenditures and less dependency on tax levy support in 2006.  * Consistent with the Phase II Courts timeline, construction of the new courts building is not expected to begin until 2008 and final design work would not occur until 2007.  Expenditure Reduction Total	Non-Departmental – General Fund	Lower funding for Courthouse/Administration is necessary for the Security Controlled Access Program (signage, parking designation and date of implementation)	Due to high priority nature of the project, deeper consideration in the development of the plan is necessary and consensus for the plan must be achieved. As a result, full-year funding is not necessary and should be adjusted accordingly in the 2006 budget.	-\$50,000	-\$50,000
*Intake Court capital project funding will be modified/reduction of general fund be modified reduction of general fund timeline will reflect lower expenditures and less dependency on tax levy support in 2006.  * Consistent with the Phase II Courts timeline, construction of the new courts building is not expected to begin until 2008 and final design work would not occur until 2007.  Expenditure  Reduction  Total  \$610,000					
Expenditure Reduction Total \$610,000	Public Works/Courts – Project #200326 Justice Facility Project Phase II	*Intake Court capital project funding will be modified /reduction of general fund balance and tax levy  * Consistent with the Phase II Courts timeline, construction of the new courts building is not expected to begin until 2008 and final desien work would not occur until 2007.	Plan refinements need to occur before construction of the Intake Court. An adjusted timeline will reflect lower expenditures and less dependency on tax levy support in 2006.	-\$500,000	-\$250,000
				Expenditure Reduction Total	Tax Levy Reduction Total
				\$610,000	-\$360,000

Veto #

V

V			
DA	ΓE-11/15/05	(ORD)	NUMBER-1600057
1	K. HERRONAY	2 R.	THELENNAY
3	D. STAMSTANAY	4 R.	HUTTONNAY
5	J. MARCHESENAY	6 D.	BROESCHNAY
7	J. JESKEWITZNAY	8 Ј.	DWYERNAY
9	P. HAUKOHLNAY	10 S.	WOLFFNAY
11	K. HARENDANAY	12 B.	RONCKENAY
13	J. MORRISNAY	14 A.	KALLINNAY
15	D. SWANAYE	16 R.	MANKEAYE
17	J. BEHRENDNAY	18 B.	MORRISNAY
19	W. MITCHELLNAY	20 M.	KIPPNAY
21	W. KOLBNAY	22 G.	BRUCENAY
23	J. TORTOMASINAY	24 B.	KRAMERNAY
25	K. CUMMINGSNAY	26 S.	KLEINNAY
27	D. PAULSONNAY	28 P.	JASKE
29	T. BULLERMANN	30 K.	NILSON
31	V. STROUD	32 P.	GUNDRUMNAY
33	D. PAVELKONAY	34 R.	SINGERTNAY
35	C. SEITZNAY		
TO	TAL AYES-02	TOTAL	NAYS-29
CA	RRIED	DEFEA	TED_
UN	ANIMOUS	TOTAL	VOTES-31

Veto #2

V.	
DATE-11/15/05	(ORD) NUMBER-1600057
1 K. HERRONAY	2 R. THELENNAY
3 D. STAMSTANAY	4 R. HUTTONNAY
5 J. MARCHESENAY	6 D. BROESCHNAY
7 J. JESKEWITZNAY	8 J. DWYERNAY
9 P. HAUKOHLNAY	10 S. WOLFFNAY
11 K. HARENDANAY	12 B. RONCKENAY
13 J. MORRISNAY	14 A. KALLINNAY
15 D. SWANNAY	16 R. MANKENAY
17 J. BEHRENDNAY	18 B. MORRISNAY
19 W. MITCHELLNAY	20 M. KIPPNAY
21 W. KOLBNAY	22 G. BRUCENAY
23 J. TORTOMASINAY	24 B. KRAMERNAY
25 K. CUMMINGSNAY	26 S. KLEINNAY
27 D. PAULSONNAY	28 P. JASKE
29 T. BULLERMANN	30 K. NILSON
31 V. STROUD	32 P. GUNDRUMNAY
33 D. PAVELKONAY	34 R. SINGERTNAY
35 C. SEITZNAY	
TOTAL AYES-00	TOTAL NAYS-31
	avectide.
CARRIED	DEFEATED_X
UNANIMOUS	TOTAL VOTES-31

Veto#3

V DATE-11/15/05	(ORD) NUMBER-1600057
1 K. HERRONAY	2 R. THELENNAY
3 D. STAMSTANAY	4 R. HUTTONNAY
5 J. MARCHESENAY	6 D. BROESCHNAY
7 J. JESKEWITZNAY	8 J. DWYERNAY
9 P. HAUKOHLNAY	10 S. WOLFFNAY
11 K. HARENDANAY	12 B. RONCKENAY
13 J. MORRISNAY	14 A. KALLINNAY
15 D. SWANNAY	16 R. MANKEAYE
17 J. BEHRENDNAY	18 B. MORRISNAY
19 W. MITCHELLNAY	20 M. KIPPNAY
21 W. KOLBNAY	22 G. BRUCENAY
23 J. TORTOMASINAY	24 B. KRAMERNAY
25 K. CUMMINGSNAY	26 S. KLEINNAY
27 D. PAULSONNAY	28 P. JASKE
29 T. BULLERMANN	30 K. NILSON
31 V. STROUD	32 P. GUNDRUMNAY
33 D. PAVELKONAY	34 R. SINGERTNAY
35 C. SEITZNAY	
TOTAL AYES-01	TOTAL NAYS-30
CARRIED	OVECCIÓL X
UNANIMOUS	TOTAL VOTES-31

1 #0 tel

V	
DATE-11/15/05	(ORD) NUMBER-1600057
1 K. HERROAYE	2 R. THELENNAY
3 D. STAMSTAAYE	4 R. HUTTONNAY
5 J. MARCHESENAY	6 D. BROESCHNAY
7 J. JESKEWITZNAY	8 J. DWYERNAY
9 P. HAUKOHLNAY	10 S. WOLFFNAY
11 K. HARENDANAY	12 B. RONCKEAYE
13 J. MORRISNAY	14 A. KALLINNAY
15 D. SWANNAY	16 R. MANKEAYE
17 J. BEHRENDNAY	18 B. MORRISNAY
19 W. MITCHELLNAY	20 M. KIPPNAY
21 W. KOLBNAY	22 G. BRUCENAY
23 J. TORTOMASINAY	24 B. KRAMERNAY
25 K. CUMMINGSNAY	26 S. KLEINNAY
27 D. PAULSONNAY	28 P. JASKE
29 T. BULLERMANN	30 K. NILSON
31 V. STROUD	32 P. GUNDRUMNAY
33 D. PAVELKONAY	34 R. SINGERTNAY
35 C. SEITZNAY	
TOTAL AYES-04	TOTAL NAYS-27
	a vaccida
CARRIED	DEFEATED X
UNANIMOUS	TOTAL VOTES-31